:	- '	S DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEBO	RA HALL,	)
	Plaintiff,	) Case No.:
	<i>7</i> .	) ) ) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND
CAPIT	TAL ONE,	) FOR JURY TRIAL
	Defendant.	<u></u>
		<u>IPLAINT</u>
DEBORA HALL ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL		
SILVE	RMAN, P.C., alleges the follow	ing against CAPITAL ONE ("Defendant"):
	INTRO	DDUCTION
1	1. Plaintiff's Complaint is ba	ased on the Telephone Consumer Protection
Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.		
	JURISDICTI	ON AND VENUE
2	2. Jurisdiction of this Court	arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. See
Mims v	v. Arrow Fin. Services, LLC, 13	2 S. Ct. 740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012).
3	3. Defendant conducts busin	ness in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
and as	such, personal jurisdiction is est	ablished.
4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).		
		1

## 

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Philadelphia, PA.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 7. Defendant is a corporation that has its mailing address located at 1680 Capital One Drive, Mclean, VA 22101.
- 8. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for more than one year.
  - 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.
- 12. Beginning in or around June 2014, Defendant placed repeated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 13. During this time Defendant contacted Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages.
- 14. Plaintiff knew Defendant was using an automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages as Defendant's calls began

with a prerecorded message from Capital One before she would be transferred to a live caller.

- 15. Defendant's harassing calls derived from numbers including, but not limited to (800) 955-6600. The undersigned has confirmed that this number belongs to the Defendant.
- 16. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes;" rather, rather Defendant was attempting to collect an account balance.
- 17. On several occasions, beginning in March 2015 and thereafter, Plaintiff spoke with Defendant and revoked any consent that may have been previously given to Defendant to call her cellular telephone number.
- 18. Defendant proceeded to ignore Plaintiff's revocation and call her cellular telephone number through April 2016.
- 19. After Defendant continued to call Plaintiff repeatedly on her cellular telephone, Plaintiff was forced to block calls from Defendant's phone numbers.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant conducts business in a manner which violates the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.

# DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

21. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.

- 22. Defendant initiated automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages.
  - 23. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.
- 24. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, in and after March 2015, were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 25. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 26. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- 27. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DEBORA HALL, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);

### Case 2:16-cv-04024-JCJ Document 1 Filed 07/26/16 Page 5 of 5

- 1		
1	b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone ca	
2	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);	
3	c. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone ca	
4	pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);	
5	d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);	
7	e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.	
8	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
9	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DEBORA HALL, demands a ju	
10		
11	trial in this case.	
12		
13	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,	
14		
15	DATED: 7/26/2016 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.	
16	Dru /a/ Amy I Dama a off Cinchyna	
17	By: /s/ Amy L. Bennecoff Ginsburg AMY L. BENNECOFF GINSBURG	
18	30 E. Butler Pike	
19	Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888	
20	Fax: (877) 788-2864	
21	Email: aginsburg@creditlaw.com	
22		
23		
24		
25		
23		